

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/446,835	12/29/1999	GREGORY FENDIS	P06608US0/DE	2965
881	7590 07/03/200	1		
LARSON & TAYLOR, PLC 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET SUITE 900			EXAMINER	
			WHITE, CARMEN D	
ALEXANDR:	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	11
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2003	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

<b>Y</b>			6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/446,835	FENDIS, GREGORY	(
Advicery Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carmen D. White	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper repich ich places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:	•	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-43</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendments to the claims, for which patentability is argued, change the scope of the claims and would require further search and consideration by the examiner.

VESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER